“Some people believe it is often necessary, even desirable, for political leaders to withhold information from the public. Others believe that the public has a right to be fully informed.”

Write a response in which you discuss which view more closely aligns with your own position and explain your reasoning for the position you take. In developing and supporting your position, you should address both of the views presented.

It is often stated that in terms of leadership, political leaders have some information that can be concealed or manifested for all people. To my way of thinking, revealing the information can cause some problems, for two reasons: first, it would help antagonists to be informed about the secrets of a society, and second, it would lead people to do indecent reactions and cause chaos.

The first reason is that the secrets could be revealed, and opponents of a given community can reach the information easily. It is axiomatic that when the political leaders of a society inform their community about anything, some reporters or secret people belonging to the enemies of that government gather the issue and send them to their bosses. As a result, opponents can predict the decisions and intends of that society, and also the reactions of the community and get advantage of them. To shed light on the issue, take an example. Some years ago, our leaders decided to negotiate with their antagonists, and announce it to the people, and they were happy and agree with that condition, so that country was informed about our satisfaction and prepared some tougher reservations in favor of themselves and against us because of our preview.

The second significant reason supporting my idea is that this information can result in anarchy. Without a shadow of a doubt, regular people are not eligible enough to analyze the situation and make wise decision due to the fact that not only do they not have enough experience in political issues, but they also do not have enough knowledge in politics; thus, they cannot handle the situation when they are informed about some significant information. Moreover, some information requires myriad analysis and investigation that should be hidden and be unraveled by political leaders since most political data are intricate, and people cannot not know the intrinsic concept of them. For instance, one country announce that it decides to fight against another country, but it does not mean that it really wants to do that. In fact, it wants to put that community under a lot of stress and tension to cause chaos and distraction, or to divert leaders and the community to do unreasonable reactions.

However, as some people think, one exception is that if political leaders hide a lot of information and pretend the way they do not, their community cannot rely on them and do not support them anymore. One cannot underestimate that leaders should be honest to their society and lead them to the truth, yet there should be some limitations to provide a proper condition for leaders to control the situation.

In conclusion, all the aforementioned reasons lead us to an undeniable fact explaining that political leaders have this right to hide some information. It is since they can both block the penetration of information to their enemies and control the reactions of their public.