* Some people believe it is often necessary, even desirable, for political leaders to withhold information from the public. Others believe that the public has a right to be fully informed.

**Withholding Information from the Public**

In the modern era, politicians play an important role in the development of countries. It is often believed that the public should be aware of what the politicians are doing. However, some contradict with this viewpoint. If I were forced to choose, I would suggest that the politicians should always share information with public. I have two reasons to support my perspective. Firstly, it is due to the fact that being clear to public reduce the chance of corruption, and secondly, it will produce a positive mindset for the people toward their politicians. In the upcoming paragraphs I will discuss my reasons in detail.

First of all, as politicians share their work and information with the public, the chance of them being corrupt reduces drastically. It is because higher rates of people will get into detail about what they are doing, and therefore, politicians are less likely to circumvent the law or use the country resources for their personal purposes. For instance, if one wants to carry out an unnecessary money transaction to buy something trivial for his/her own personal needs, by knowing that the public would be aware of this purchase, the politician would definitely enter a risky business by doing so.

Subsequently, being clear to public increases the population of people who trust in their leaders or governors. As the politicians act clear and without deception with the public, people see them as their own, and also, as someone who is refined and trustworthy. For instance, consider someone who is not always open to public. People will see that person as someone who is not trustworthy, and this way, opinion of the public would hurt the politician’s future if he/she wants to re-elect for that position, or even at a larger scale reduce the popularity of a party.

However, this attribute is not always a positive aspect. To be more specific, for lot of important organizations such as military or intelligence services, it is an absolutely bad idea to be open to public. It is because huge amount of information in these organizations are confidential, and should not be shared with anyone. For example, a new martial device is being developed in a military company. Politicians should not say anything about this particular subject to the public. It is because oppositions might get benefit from this type of information.

To sum up, it should be mentioned that being open and clear with public is a vital attribute of politicians. I hold this opinion for two reasons, first of all, it reduce the chance of corruptions, and second of all, it creates a positive attitude about the politicians in the mind of common men. However, it is believed that being open to public is not always a good idea, especially about important organizations such as military or intelligence services.
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