**Ghazal**

**In any profession—business, politics, education, government—those in power should step down after five years.**

Write a response in which you discuss the extent to which you agree or disagree with the claim. In developing and supporting your position, be sure to address the most compelling reasons and/or examples that could be used to challenge your position.

The author of the issue indicates that in all professions the officials should be substituted after five years. I concur with this state for a number of reasons, including but not limited to, bringing new notions to the place and evading corruptions; however, there are some exceptions and considerations about this issue.

The first reason why I agree with the replacement of the people who has the power, after some years is that all people have different mindsets and various ideas, so new officials can bring new procedures and it could ameliorate their profession. For instance, in my university our previous head of the department never payed attention to our laboratories; even though, laboratory is a vital part of learning mechanical engineering, so after he got retired our new head of the department bought new equipment for our laboratories, so we learn our lessons better because we are able to use them.

Another equally important reason is that in this world that power and money has a big role in people’s lives, if some people stay in power forever they might care about their position more than their responsibility and that will increase corruption in that field. For example, in the company where I used to work our CEO was in power for almost 20 years, he believed that he will always be the most powerful person in the company, so he took advantage of his authorities in order to make more money for himself. finally, the company went bankrupt because of his irresponsibility.

Nevertheless, in all professions to resign those in power people who are in charge need to take some conditions into account. First of all, changing officials every 5 years might not be adequately long at some fields. For example, in association with politics, which usually requires long-term plans, it’s better to give more time to the officials to manage their schedule, likewise presidents in many countries who are allowed to stay in power for more than five years. Secondly, in all professions some officials are adroit and well experienced, so some people might say it’s fruitful to keep them in power as long as possible. However, I think it’s lucrative to keep those people but not in power. They could be honorary officials who do not have the power to make important decisions but they can help the officials and other people in power to make accurate decisions.

To sum up, based on all the aforementioned reasons and considerations I believe that it is beneficial for all professions to replace the people who are in power after a while, albeit they should be meticulous and consider all the important aspects of their own profession.