**Some people believe it is often necessary, even desirable, for political leaders to withhold information from the public. Others believe that the public has a right to be fully informed.**

Nobody can overlook the pivotal role of the public information that are in the possession of top-ranking political elites. Although, many people put more emphasis on the harmful consequences of accessing general information to the whole population, I argue that the general public should be aware of the latest development of his country’s information .

First off, it is extremely clear-cut that sharing the information of one nation can remarkably jeopardize its own security and integrity. This can make the espionage activities unimaginably easier through breaching information security. In fact, this harmful action can contribute to impact many key areas of a country such as its security-based infrastructures. For instance, in the Korean war, the North neighbor took advantage of the availability of the information pertaining to the progress of opposing side. Therefore, political leaders should share their knowledge through a comprehensive road map, which can constraint the access to the information to some definite users.

Nevertheless, the idea of not sharing political information for the public can be rather preposterous. As a matter of fact, handing over the political information to the population can be regarded as an index for the freedom and fairness of a country. For instance, a recent study in Sydney confirmed that revealing political information to the ordinary members of society can prepare the ground for the members of society to be coordinated with the general policies of their home country. Consequently, it would be wrong to create impediments for sharing and disseminating public-related political matters.

Moreover, the performance of a party or a person in the world of politics can be meticulously measured via the political information coming out of the congress or presidential palace. Otherwise, political leaders can conceal their clandestine actions under the excuse of keeping up political details. A various type of crime and some similar ills such as racketeering, tax-evasion, money-laundering, briberies, and similar financial scandals originate from the concealment of political-related information. Therefore, the kind of political information should be discerned and categorized in to secretive or general by information security expert panels, and not by the politicians themselves.

In conclusion, despite a heated controversy hanging over the availability of political information to the general population, I believe that this information should be released and revealed in a verifiable specific steps by unbiased experts, who do not operate under the affiliation of government. It worth noting that a full-access to the political details of political activities can undoubtedly jeopardy the security and stability of one sovereign country.