The Author postulate that some people think it is essential, even preferable, for political figures to conceal information from the public. Other people think that the public has a right to be fully noticed and understand. This subject is a bone of contention between these two groups and could bring the matter of trust and clarity among the people and governments. I agree with the group who think that they have the right to know about information inside the government.

To begin with, concealing information from the public could deprive the trust of the political figures and has adverse ramification on their future careers. Because instinctively, when you are hiding something from someone, there is something wrong about it, and the people believe that you have done an illegal action that has severe effects on the others. This issue can hurt people’s feelings and could disturb the trust from you. The political leaders are not different, and their works are observed more than anyone else in society. The consequence could be enormous, especially when someone is in charge of a democratic country since the freedom of expression is highly valuable, and everyone can judge politicians and their policies. This issue could be lead to resignation or losing the popularity between the leader supporters. For instance, Richard Nixon, the former American president, stepped away from his position when it was proved that he spied his rival secretly in the American elections.

Furthermore, withholding information from the people could establish a corruption platform. Most governors use their power to keep away the public from the realities, and this issue perceived as an abuse of power for their benefit, which could even lead to other wrongdoing actions from the Authorities. As a result, incompetency and corruption will grow inside organs and, in the worst scenario, could intrude into society in a long period of time. For example, the president falsifies the information about their expenditure on what they have planned for. They have spent the budget on other activities, which is not desirable for the public, and people could raise their profile and take striking actions against the leaders. Even the private enterprises may follow the policy of concealing rather than clarity, which is not accepted by the employees and could result in massive dissatisfaction among the workers.

However, some situations revealing the information could endanger homeland security. For example, the military sites and weapon warehouses in which the enemies are interested. This information has nothing beneficial to the public and must be confidential. Otherwise, the foreign countries may use these data in order to knock out the country. In effect, the government must keep these information secrets and out of access from the public.

To cut a long story short, concealing the information from the public can lead to deprivation of trust and pervasive corruption in state organizations. However, there are some situations that revealing data can endanger national security.