\*In any profession – business , education , politics , government-those in power should step down after five years \*

In this claim , the author asserts that in any profession - business , education , politics , governments those in power should step aside after limited years for example 5 years. the speaker remarks a way to success for any enterprise is revitalization through new leadership .However, .I do not agree with the statement because of some reasons that is associated with my field and an eminent manager as Bill Gates.One reason supports my opinion that many companies do not change the leader every five year.

First of all, sometimes the change of the leadership will gives new fresh air to the enterprise, thus to some extent helping the company go to success But a new leader has their ideals and management of the companey that might be different with old leader. Revelutions sometimes will be taken to prevent that trend . I think the change of leadership is one of reformational measures. It is not strange that we can see many world-famous enterprise changes its leader reported by the paper and TV every day. They always going to be more creative in leadership and more authoritative managers in their company .they know every little detail about enterprise which it helps to manage the company better than before.Therefore It takes so many times to get this information if the leader changes after 5 years.

Secondly , it is usually the founder or chairperson of the company that has the most experience; as the person who stared the company, he or she has had “skin in the game” for long enough to now what works best and what doesn’t in their profession .experience is really important in leadership and management .An eminent manager such as Bill Gates is a leader of Microsoft for 20 years old .In the same way ,that is not say that the writer path to success for any companies is revitalization through new leadership .There is no any technologies better than Bill Gates ` s management that lead Microsoft to success instead of revitalization through new leadership. Nor, Steve Jobs who founded Apple, a technology company, was fired by his own board of directors and so had to leave his own company.It was when Apple began struggling against the competition of other technology firms in the market and were making unmitigated losses that the board then pleaded with Steve Jobs to come back to Apple, after which Apple became one of the most relevant technology companies in the world .Moreover, The valuable experience that a leader received during his position play a role in leading the enterprise to succeed .Subsequently , a leader stay in the company ,the more experience he will receive which will help him manage the company .The speaker ignores many important factors.

Finelly , It is the leader`s own private choice that makes him leave the company .Li Kaifu ,the manager of Google of China .He succeed to manage the affairs of Google in China .However, his leave of the company is due to his own choice as he said. So,In business or any profession sometimes change the leader by the enterprise` s own choice will be a great decision and usually not. Therefore, my opinion is not always true and the authors statement too.

Consequently, I doubt the author `s conclusion that those in power step down after five years is based on weak evidence. Five years is just a number, a number which belongs to the author`s own guesswork. let alone in any profession tend to like that. Hence , Bill Gates and Li Kaifu that have their own idea about managing their companies .One of them stayed in his corporation and the other one left his company or Steve Jobs fired by his directors and left his company .there is no any exact thing to say what is exactly true and my opinion is just my idea .