The reading and the lecture are both about voynich manuscript book’s author.

Thr author of the article presents tree theories about author of the book.

The lecture disputes the claim in the article his position is that the theory about athur is incorrect.

The article mention that manuscript book is genuine work on som scientific or magical subject. it is similar to achma’s book about medical plants.

Additionaly in lecture he point out that information are important and use special code but achma was ordiary physician he wasn’t author.

Secondly the author suggest that in other theory that it is fake of magical book that made by kelly

The lecture however assert that in 16 century peapl were easy fool so it was not necessary to make something

Finally the author points that it is modern fake created by voynich as an antiqe book.

In contrast the lecture s stance is that the book is very old and its volum and ink are at least 400 year old.