The author believes that online encyclopedias have many important problems, although the charming idea of being authored by a very great numbers of authors. The lecturer casts doubt on the claims made in the article mentioning that the opinion of the authors is kind of biased about the online encyclopedias, because they are too new.

First of all, the author claims that the people who edit these encyclopedias have no require qualification to do that. The author believes that traditional ones were written with more precious and accuracy. This point is challenged by lecturer. He says that it is not fair enough to disdain online encyclopedias for errors because there are errors in both of online and offline type. Furthermore, he points out errors in online encyclopedia can be easily amended rather than the other one.

Secondly, the author states that the information may be changed or removed by hackers and other psycho people. But this problem couldn’t happen to traditional ones. About that, the lecturer suggests that there are some strategies to protect the data, Such as putting solid data on the unchangeable article and using some expert editors to monitoring all changes.

Finally, the author mentions the communal encyclopedias have a wide range of descriptions that may cause the users to confuse with that. The lecture, on the other hand, states that traditional ones have limited space. Therefore, it has to decide based on priority. He puts forth the idea that space for the online encyclopedia is not a big deal.