The reading and the lecture are both about traditional and online encyclopedias value and accuracy. The author of reading believes that the traditional encyclopedia have more valuable than the communal online encyclopedia. However, the lecture says that while the online encyclopedia will probably never be perfect, but the reading is exaggerating because it don’t want to believe the online encyclopedia progress.

First of all, the author state that because of the skillful author writes the traditional encyclopedia, they have more academic value. On the other hand the lecturer claims that it’s not fair because both of encyclopedias has mistake and we can’t find even one of them without any errors. In addition to we can correct the online encyclopedia while errors in printed one remain for many years.

Secondly the author claims that the online encyclopedia can falsify by hackers. This point is challenged by the lecture. She says that two strategy exist for protecting the encyclopedia falsifying.one of them is read-only format that no one can make change it. And the other is monitoring all changes by special editors who eliminate wrongs.

Finally, the author mention that the online encyclopedia emphasize on unimportant and irrelevant topics while the lecture opinion that the traditional encyclopedia has limited space. So the author should decide to what is important and what is not. While the online encyclopedia hasn’t limited space and it has variant topics that is major benefit.