The reading claims that there are some theories about how agnostids could have lived. However, the lecturer finds all the ideas mentioned by the reading weaknes and presents some evidences to refute them all.

The author argues that the agnostides have been considered as free-swimming predators which were able to hunt small animals. Conversely, the lecturer brings up the idea that this reason is highly questionable due to the fact that arthropods have large organized eyes that help them to be predator, but agnostids have had tiny eyes which we can say they were willing to blind. So, they couldn't have been predators. On the other hand, they have had other organizations that may have helped them to hunt.

Furthermore, the reading passage holds the view that the agnostids might have lived on the seafloor. On the contrary, the professor underlines the fact that animals which lived on the seafloor moved slowly and stayed in small environment. furthermore, they just occupy local area where they have been generated, while agnostids could move easily under sea and spread in large distance.

Finally, the reading asserts that the agnostids may have been parasites. In contrast, the speaker dismisses this issue due to the fact that the population of parasites is not so big and they are limited, because the parasites' organization can be killed off by large amount of themselves. But, researches shows that many agnostids have been living in fossils.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? Leadership comes naturally. One cannot learn how to develop it.

In the modern era, people’s tendency towards leadership has increased because of being famous or guiding people who need guidance. No one can deny the direct and indirect effects of a leader in society. Nowadays, some people may hold the view that leadership originated naturally. However, some others may take another viewpoint and believe that everyone can learn how to be a leader and expand their ability of becoming a leader. I personally contend that being leader do not naturally and it just provided by learning and improving leadership skill's. I will explore my viewpoint through following reasons.

The first exquisite to be mentioned is that increasing related knowledge has a pivotal role in one's ability to guide people. Furthermore, todays, there are a plethora of professional teachers that prepare students to be strong leaders in the futures. A relevant study in my country has shown that stunning leaders have studied social since,history and psicological knowledge since they were student. As a result, these studies shape their personality and help them thoroughly influence on people.

Another reason which deserves some points is that self-confidence is a key role in leadership. As you know, parents and teachers have huge influence on children personality. If they correctly give children advices and guide them through their studies, children can easily prepare themselves for becoming a leader. In fact, parents can support their children financially and emotionally. Also, teachers can help students to stand when they fail in developing leadership’s skills process. For instance, my brother who has close relationship with his son encouraged him to study books which their writers were leader. Now, my nephew is becoming a celebrated leader.

In conclusion, I am of the opinion that leadership do not gain naturally. Actually, it can be provided by learning different knowledge such as social since, history and psycological knowledge. Besides, parents and teachers have important role in increasing children’s self- confidence and can encourage them to be able guide people.