“Some people believe it is often necessary, even desirable, for political leaders to withhold information from the public. Others believe that the public has a right to be fully informed.”

The writer of the issue is of the view that political leaders should aware public about information; moreover, the opposite opinion is considered and it is declaring that public should be prevented to be informed. For two reason that will be mentioned in the issue, I completely agree with the second sight. However, as public select leaders, they have the right to be informed about information and be loyal with them.

First of all, some information is secret and do not has prospect to share with public. For example, in media when there is some news about disaster, catastrophe and mishap it is more logical not share the exact and precise statistics of dead to diminish the amount of fearsome, sorrow and glooming between survival. This example is a kind of parallel ones that adapt to politics strategies either and in some occasion, there is no possibilities to share information with public.

Secondly, in some society some people are parochial and shortsighted and most of the time are against the political leaders and their decisions. They do not have enough knowledge and insight to perceive what is proper and appropriate for society and what not; therefore, it is more reasonable and logical that they are not be aware of information. Imagine situation that deft and dexterous leaders think about all aspect of new decision base on information that consider future. If leaders reveal information and their decision, they will encounter a prodigious opposition, because opponent are not aware of the result of decision.

However, public select political leader so political person should be loyal with them. Public who selects political divided in two group of opponent and proponent and they should be aware of data and information to take side in their view. More conservative manner will trigger less trustful behavior and reaction between two sides. Loyalty is a kind of sanguine way led to absorption of trustiness. Not only opponent but also proponent will pursue the political policy easier and safer when they aware of information and what will be happened in future of country.

In sum, the merits and demerits of both views should be considered before executing the idea. Although loyalty is a resourceful way led to flourishing the society, conserve information from public is an in separatable and irresponsible part of policy of leaders for ameliorating situation.