Both reading and writing are about whether admission fees should be paid to enter national parks or not? In this line of thought, the reading passage proposes three major reasons debating that the admission fees should be removed, and all visitors should be permitted entrance to the park for free. The professor, on the other hand, refutes all of them, stating that none of the three reasons mentioned in the text arenot convincing.

First of all, the author brings up the idea that Since national parks belong to every individual in the community, it is the inherent right of members of the community to be able to use them without having to pay to enter and use the facilities there. However, the lecturer argues that park officials need this money to cover the park's costs, such as upgrade and maintain the park facilities, as well as pay staff salaries.

Secondly, the author believes that taking the cost of entering national parks discourages people from visiting them and enjoys their natural beauty. Paying high prices cause many people to lose the opportunity to enjoy their time off in the parks. In contrast, the speaker avers that not only charging machine fees do not stop people from visiting national famous parks but also encourage them to visit there. For instance, however, the entrance fee of Yale stone national park is so high, thousands of people visit there every year. paying admission fees provides high quality and safety in parks.

Finally, the essay suggests that checking every visitor and collecting admission fees imposes an extra time-consuming process to individuals. It also reduces the number of park rangers along the park. Conversely, the professor posits this assertion and explains that checking the cars and people in the checking points when they want to enter the park is useful for visitors since if the park guards find any suspected things among them they stop danger Immediately and People are not harmed.
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