The reading is about possibility of permanent presence of human on Venus. It states that extreme conditions on Venus make there impossible for human habitation and provided three reasons of support. Conversely, in the lecture, the professor holds a totally different view that beside all the challenges, living on Venus is possible and points to inaccuracies in each of author's reasons. At the first point, the reading states that the atmospheric pressure on Venus's surface is too large, at least 90 times greater the Earth's surface pressure. Spacecraft landing on Venus would be crushed by the extreme pressure. According to the listening, a place 50 kilometers above the surface has normal pressure similar to that on Earth. Therefore, crushed spacecrafts can be avoided. At the second point, the reading states that it is very hard to find water or oxygen on venus' surface. Moreover, it is impractical to import them from earth. On the other hand, the professor questions this point by explaining that Venus contains carbon dioxide and sulfuric acid. So, we can produce water and oxygen from chemical reaction. As a result, there is no need to import them from the earth planet. At the third point, although, the reading affirms that there is a little sun light at this planet, the professor introduces that the clouds at the atmosphere of this plant are not very thick and the surface riches sunlight. Furthermore, by installing solar power on the spacecraft based on the sunlight reflection by the thick clouds the electricity can be produced. To sum up, for those reasons the professor refuses the reading's reasons.