**Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? To solve present and future problems, it is necessary to understand the past.**

Every era has its own significant problems but it’s more important that how we regulate and solve it.Over time the problems are getting more complex and the key to the solution is thinking differently.Some people assert that it is necessary to understand the past if we want to solve present and future problems but I would take opposite opinion.As what follows I will explain my point of view.

As I said for the new age problems we should attain new ideas with different approaches because with the same method we will stuck in the same deadlock.Climate change is a great example that will support my opinion.We have never had such a problem and most importantly we have never solve such a problem as a result we can’t use early methods to solve it.But if we take a different approach and by using new technologies we would be able to come up with some great ideas on how to regulate the climate change.

It’s important to understand the past and mistakes of early ages, not for solving new age problems but for not repeating the same mistakes.Understanding the past is not necessary for solving new age problems but it is required to not creating the early age problems in the new age.For example we shouldn’t let another world war happen and in my opinion the solution of such an unpleasant incident is negotiation.

Understanding the past has undeniable significance to future generations for preventing disasters but it shouldn’t have distortion of reality and it should be efficient.
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