**In any profession—business, politics, education, government—those in power should step down after five years.**

Write a response in which you discuss the extent to which you agree or disagree with the claim. In developing and supporting your position, be sure to address the most compelling reasons and/or examples that could be used to challenge your position.

Ephemeral dictatorship

The writer of the issue is of the view that those who are in important positions in all areas of a society should be substituted by others after a couple of years. Seeing the many merits may be achieved through this statement due to the opportunity of benefitting from others' ideas and the honesty and integrity that may be more likely in short-term leadership I believe this would be one of the best policies by which managing seems to be much efficient in different fields of societies.

First off, holding a specific position for more than 5 years, managers would be highly probable to get rigid and use the repeated solutions to each problem considering their repeating encounter with same problems. In fact, they may assume they should not bother themselves examining and trying new approaches even if they look much more efficient. As an example, in business management there would be various attitudes towards a single problem as many as people who are thinking about it. These different analysis and resolutions can bring about different consequences and benefits and are considered to be real eye opener for a company or group. This priceless experience of setting wide range of policies toward issues based on different way of thinking would be not practical, but if the seniors of such communities be replaced time by time. Each of these officials may hold different values and ideas considering their own school of thinking. Maybe a manager decides to start more authorized dealer of a brand in stagnation as a policy for advertising, while the other start discontinue the license of those who have least sales average annually. Each of these contradictory solutions which are derived from different attitudes should be test to find out the one which suite the company and society the best and ultimately these small changes can produce a great impact on finding most innovative and practical solution in each situation.

The second benefit of this statement can be the honesty and probity amongst the superiors. Holding a position for a prolonged duration may result in corruption and dishonesty. This fact is much more common in political officials that they get more selfish and prone to dictatorship as they remain in their executive system as a superior for a long time. The more they get egoist and dictator, the more misappropriation is possible by them in order to form a group of their advocates to cling to power, not only for themselves but also for their families. specified period of being an official like a prime minister in democratic systems reduce the level of such fake feelings of holding the power permanently and inspire the true and sheer sense of being reputable and giving best service to the people of a society in their small opportunity of being a superior.

However, it should be admitted that a manager in several years of leading a society or a group can broaden his or her horizons toward the potential problems by spending sizeable amount of human and material sources to get acquainted to potential systematic errors and gain these sorts of experiences. Also, as they cannot be completely pass thorough sequential seniors it is highly probable that considerable amount of resources be wasted to retry a slip and regain repetitious experience by them. Trying to preparing daily account and the strategies toward difficulties, superior can have a profound effect on making such decisions more efficient and reduce the waste.

Summing up, replacing the administrative officials in various areas of societies can bring about different advantages such as much more innovative and newer approaches for problems and more honesty and probity in governing systems everywhere although some additional expenditures for repeating some faults by a new manager maybe inevitable.